



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

AS

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/453,729	12/02/99	ROGERS	D 1368 (TOUCHST)

IM52/1011

AUZVILLE JACKSON JR
8652 RIO GRANDE ROAD
RICHMOND VA 23229

EXAMINER	
MEDLEY, M	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1714	11

DATE MAILED: 10/11/01

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:

a) is extended to run _____ or continues to run three from the date of the final rejection
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).

Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 9/21/01 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:

1. The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:

- a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
- b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
- c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
- d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
- e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: Claim 13 (and Claims 10 and 11) has added a new limitation "carbon paper" that was not presented by claim 12, deleted "product" from line 1, but is reflected in claims 9-11, introduced a new swell index, and introduced pair of skins laminated to either side of; and claims 9-13 introduced said skins comprise a member" which is broader than the claims.

2. Newly proposed or amended claims for claims 1-8 would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. for claims 9-13 Rejected claims

3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:

Claims allowed: NONE

Claims objected to: NONE

Claims rejected: 1-12

However;

Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The 1/2 rejection of Claims 1-8 and only the 1/2 rejection of claims 9-11 for the inclusion of a period after the claim numbers.

4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because The examiner maintains the 102 and 103 rejections of record. Applicants have not addressed the clarity for amendment entry to page 1 lines 9, 10 and 11.

5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.

The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.

Other

Margaret B. Medley
MARGARET MEDLEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER